	Case 1:23-cv-00459-JLT-SAB Documen	at 46 Filed 11/27/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN RANAE GLEEN CANDLER,	No. 1:23-cv-00459-JLT-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT TO ADD
13	v.	ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS
14	JOHN & JANE DOES, et al.,	(ECF No. 45)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant	
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for amend the complaint to add additional	
20	Defendants, filed November 22, 2023. Plaintiff seeks to substitute the names of three Doe	
21	Defendants. (ECF No. 45.)	
22	As an initial matter, Plaintiff's motion is unsigned and such filing cannot be considered by	
23	the Court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a); Local Rule 131. Further, on October 31, 2023, the Court denied	
24	Plaintiff's first motion to substitute, without prejudice, because the Court found the operative	
25	complaint stated a cognizable claim against only one Doe Defendant. (ECF No. 41 at 2.) The	
26	Court advised Plaintiff that if he is seeking to amend his complaint to add additional Defendants,	
27	he must file a motion to amend, along with a proposed second amended complaint which is	
28	complete within itself. Local Rule 220. (<u>Id.</u>) 1	
	.l	-

Case 1:23-cv-00459-JLT-SAB Document 46 Filed 11/27/23 Page 2 of 2

Then, on November 9, 2023, the Court again denied Plaintiff's second motion to substitute the names of three Doe Defendants, without prejudice. (ECF No. 44.) Therein, the Court advised Plaintiff that he should review and comply with the Court's October 31, 2023, order in seeking to amend and/or substitute the identity of the Doe Defendant(s).

Plaintiff now again seeks to amend the complaint to substitute and add the names of three Doe Defendants at the Visalia County Jail. However, Plaintiff is AGAIN advised that the Court determined that Plaintiff's complaint could proceed ONLY on the excessive force claim against the Doe Defendant at Wasco State Prison and any claims against officers at the Visalia County Jail or Court are unrelated to the claims at Wasco State Prison and should be raised in a separate action. (ECF No. 12.) Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint to add and/or substitute three Doe Defendants at the Visalia County Jail or Court is DENIED, and Plaintiff should refrain from filing future motions of the same nature.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: **November 27, 2023**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE